

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,141	09/11/2003		Sebastien Perrot	PF030065	4968
24498	7590	02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMSO	N LICEN	SING INC.	ADDY, ANTHONY S		
PATENT OF PO BOX 53		NS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETO		8543-5312		2681	
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/660,141	PERROT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Anthony S. Addy	2681

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- PLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed.
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Attachment (Response to Arguments).
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
> Jenica Milane
TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER Anthony S. Addy
Olail. Phone: 571-272-7795

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Applicant's arguments filed on February 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Vij fails to show or suggest a link management module for managing associations with an access point of a centralized wireless network, examiner respectfully disagrees and maintains that Vij broadly teaches and meets the limitation of "a link management module for managing associations with an access point of a centralized wireless network" as recited in claim 1. Examiner reiterates that Vij teaches a wireless bridge for connecting a Personal Area Network technology of Bluetooth with a wireless local area network of IEEE802.11a or other wireless LAN standard to provide a wireless system level solution for peripheral devices to Internet sevice interactions (see col. 1, lines 54-59). According to Vij, connecting these technologies with the wireless bridge, bring together in a single working device the appropriate implementations of the these technologies such that they do not interfere or disrupt the operation of each other, and provide a seamless transition of a Bluetooth connection to wireless local area network/Internet connection (see col. 1, lines 59-65). Vij further teaches a wireless bridge for connecting a wireless network to at least one other network, wherein the wireless bridge comprises a management entity and a link management protocol (LMP) (see Fig. 4; shows a wireless bridge including a management entity (MGMT ENTITY) and a link management protocol (LMP) [i.e. the LMP and the management entity (MGMT ENTITY) broadly reads on "a link management module for managing associations with an access point of a centralized wireless network" since Vij teaches the wireless bridge including the LMP and the management entity (MGMT ENTITY) provide a seamless transition of a Bluetooth connection to a wireless local area network/Internet connection and furthermore it is very well known in the art that it is through the LMP that devices join or leave a piconet in a Bluetooth network, thus it is clear the LMP and the management entity (MGMT ENTITY) broadly meets and reads on "a link management module for managing associations with an access point of a centralized wireless network"]).